ORIGINAL

FORMAL COMPLAINT

TO: 1

The Arizona Corporation Commission



2 3

FROM:

Salt River Solar & Wind

4 5

DATE:

May 14, 2010

2000 MAY | 4 P 4: 00

6 7

DOCKET NO.:

E-01575A-09-0429

A CHARLET COMMISSION Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

8 9

REGARDING:

Formal Complaint: Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative

Failure to Pay 2009 Sunwatts Solar Rebates

MAY 1.4 2010

10 11 12

Dear Madam Chairman and Commissioners:

E-01575A-10-0196

DOCKETEDIES

13 14

15

16

The purpose of this document is file a formal complaint against Sulphur Springs

Valley Electric Cooperative, Inc. (SSVEC) for not paying approved solar reservations

in a timely manner that were reserved beginning in October 2009 and continuing

through February 2010.

17 18 19

Salt River Solar & Wind (Salt River Solar) submitted reservation forms, received

SSVEC approvals and commissioned twenty-two (22) solar power arrays, which are

outlined in Exhibit A.

21 22 23

20

The total amount owed to Salt River Solar under the 2009 SSVEC Sunwatts rebate program is approximately \$345,796.75.

24 25 26

27

Salt River Solar has contacted SSVEC in an attempt to collect these funds, but has been informed that the expected payment date for these solar rebate checks is two

28 years.

29 30

Salt River Solar respectfully requests that the Arizona Corporation Commission instruct SSVEC to pay these approved solar incentive payments as promised under the Sunwatts Rebate program within 30-days of the system's commissioning.

32 33 34

31

If not, Salt River should be allowed to collect an eight-percent interest fee compounded monthly for serving as a bank to fund SSVEC's Sunwatts solar rebate

36 program.

37 38

35

SSVEC has stated that it cannot meet its financial obligations due to the funding and construction of its utility-owned distributed generation solar facilities located on the premises of forty-one local school properties.

40 41 42

43

39

It is not clear to Salt River Solar how SSVEC was allowed to utilize money that was apportioned to fund residential and commercial distributed generation solar power arrays and instead redirect the money to finance its own utility-owned solar projects at an artificially high price per installed watt.

44 45 46

47

49

How is it possible for a utility company to subcontract with Solon to install 41 utility-

owned solar farms at an expense of \$11,480,000 using Clean Renewable Energy 48

Bond (CREB) funding mechanism and then be allowed to pay off these capital

investments with money that was collected from ratepayers under the guise of a Renewable Energy Standard Tariff (REST)?

Utility grid upgrades and expansion costs have been recovered once through the customer's regular electric bill. Charging customers a second time to fund a utility-owned electrical generation plant seems to be unethical.

Monies collected under the Sunwatts 2009 Incentive program should only be used to fund the installation residential and commercial solar distributed generation systems that are used to offset the use of carbon-based fuel by installing solar power arrays on SSVEC customer premises, not utility owned assets.

Also in question is the Request for Proposal (RFP) process. According to Jack Blair, the RFP was not made public by publishing a formal announcement in the public notice section of a local newspaper, but instead it was only posted to the company's website.

As a result Mr. Blair stated that only three RFPs were received. And of the three RFPs received Solon's RFP bid at \$11.77 per installed watt was the lowest bid. A rate that is four times higher than the going rate? Buying direct from the manufacturer usually would result is a lower than average cost per installed watt. Buying a megawatt of solar panels also should provide significant economies of scale.

Was this really the lowest bid for installing \$11 million worth of utility-owned solar farms?

It would be great to see all three RFPs published for review, but unfortunately it is impossible for the industry, peers and ratepayers to determine whether or not the RFP selected provides the best return on investment for ratepayers.

In fact, instead of funding distributed generation solar power arrays on SSVEC customers' premises that would normally produce free solar power that would be used reduce customer electricity bills, SSVEC instead elected to use the REST money to build utility-owned solar farms that are generating electricity that is fed back into the grid in the same manner as any other power generation station, minus the free electricity provided while school is in session.

Since SSVEC customers paid for these solar farms via a RES tariff, all profits from the solar electricity being produced should be utilized to fund the Sunwatts 2009 Rebate Incentive Program until their rebate backlog is paid off.

And, if SSVEC's Sunwatts has been put on hold, perhaps the administrative fees to manage their Sunwatts program also should be placed on hold.

According to SSVEC's 2009 Annual Report, the Company has \$55 million dollars in its Patronage Capital Fund.

Because SSVEC invested \$11 million to construct capital intensive utility-owned solar farms, the CREB loan payments should be paid out of the Patronage Capital Fund, not the Sunwatts 2009 Solar Incentive program.

The Patronage Capital Fund is also paying a yearly dividend of ~\$260,000 to 101 102 cooperative members. If the cooperative cannot pay its debts, then all dividends should be suspended until the Sunwatts 2009 Rebate Incentive Program backlog is 103 104 paid off. 105 For the record, it is very disappointing for a solar installation company to file twenty-106 107 two (22) reservation forms, receive solar rebate approvals for each, finance the costs 108 of equipment purchases, install the systems, commission them, then be informed that SSVEC will not pay the promised solar incentives because they "simply don't have 109 the money" and do not expect a payment for up to twenty-four months. 110 111 112 That is the equivalent of SSVEC's customer base telling them at the end of the 113 month, "Sorry, SSVEC, we simply do not have the money to pay our electricity bill this month, but we can probably pay it off in two years." 114 115 It appears that SSVEC has some very creative accountants and, in our humble 116 opinion, it would be a wise to decision for the Commission to conduct a formal audit 117 on SSVEC's entire accounting system to ensure that ratepayers are not being charged 118 twice for capital improvements. 119 120 Salt River Solar would like to point out that an Arizona Solar feed-in tariff would 121 122 provide a welcome relief for faltering incentive programs, secretive RFPs and utility companies that fail to pay the their solar reservation contracts in a timely manner. 123 124 125 Respectfully submitted on this 14th day of May 2010. 126 127 128 Mike Fricker 129

130131132

133

134 135 Mike Fricker

General Manager/Owner

Exhibit A: SSVEC Past Due Rebates

Address	, crey	[Zip	Size	Contract	Received	Approved	Commissioned	Owed	Past Due
3641 MOHAWK DR	SIERRA VISTA	85635	5.16	04-Nov-09	13-Nov-09	16-Dec-09	03/10/10	\$18,447.00	63
1124 OCOTILLO DR	SIERRA VISTA	85635	5.805	05-Nov-09	13-Nov-09	16-Dec-09	01/41/0	\$18,808.00	56
3049 ST. ANDREWS	SIERRA VISTA	85635	3.44	09-Nov-09	12-Nov-09	14-Dec-09	01/10/60	\$13,760.00	72
3061 ST ANDREWS	SIERRA VISTA	85635	3.44	09-Nov-09	30-Nov-09	16-Dec-09	01/10/60	\$10,578.00	72
2703 N CALLE SEIS	HUACHUCA CITY	85616	4.3	04-Nov-09	13-Nov-09	16-Dec-09	01/01/20	\$13,222.00	63
322 MEADOWOOD	SIERRA VISTA	85635	3.44	02-Jan-00	15-Sep-09	22-Oct-09	01/02/10	\$12,600.00	112
424 W. OAK ST.	HUACHUCA CITY	85616	5.805	02-Jan-00	15-Sep-09	22-Oct-09	01/20/10	\$22,640.00	112
495 S. TAYLORS TRAIL	SIERRA VISTA	85635	4.73	02-Jan-00	20-Oct-09	29-Nov-09	01/20/10	\$18,920.00	112
1004 RANCHO BLVD.	WETSTONE	85616	3.78	02-Jan-00	25-Sep-09	02-Jan-00	03/17/10	\$15,120.00	56
3704 E. MANDAN DR.	SIERRA VISTA	85605	3.87	02-Jan-00	10-Sep-09	19-Nov-09	01/20/10	\$15,480.00	112
823 N. NORTH AVE.	SIERRA VISTA	85635	3.87	02-Jan-00	13-Nov-09	29-Nov-09	01/13/10	\$15,120.00	119
	SIERRA VISTA		4.73	26-Oct-09	27-Oct-09	29-Nov-09	01/13/10	\$14,544.75	119
528 S. CHASE	SIERRA VISTA	85635	2.58	02-Jan-00	06-Oct-09	22-Oct-09	01/20/10	\$8,127.00	112
558 S. SKY RANCH RD	SIERRA VISTA	85635	5.805	02-Jan-00	06-Oct-09	02-Jan-00	03/01/10	\$23,220.00	72
426 S. TAYLORS TRAIL	SIERRA VISTA	85635	3.01	02-Jan-00	02-Nov-09	15-Nov-09	01/13/10	\$12,040.00	119
6227 E. DAKOTA	HEREFORD	85615	5.16	11-Nov-09	24-Nov-09	15-Dec-09	03/01/10	\$20,640.00	72
386 S. CLUBHOUSE LN. SIERRA VISTA	SIERRA VISTA	85635	3.87	26-Oct-09	27-Oct-09	16-Nov-09	01/20/10	\$11,900.00	112
914 SAGUARO	PEARCE	85625	5.16	02-Jan-00	12-Nov-09	14-Dec-09	03/01/10	\$15,480.00	72
3318 E NAVAHO	SIERRA VISTA	85650	5.805	09-Nov-09	28-Dec-09	01-Feb-10	03/01/10	\$17,850.00	72
6317 E. GATEWAY DR.	SIERRA VISTA	85635	3.01	04-Nov-09	13-Nov-09	16-Dec-09	03/01/10	\$12,040.00	72
260 S. СLUВНОUНЕ	SIERRA VISTA	85635	3.01	02-Nov-09	12-Nov-09	16-Dec-09	03/01/10	\$12,040.00	72
3114 E. SAN XAVIER	SIERRA VISTA	85635	5.805	12-Nov-09	24-Nov-09	14-Dec-09	03/01/10	\$23,220.00	72

\$345,796.75

Total: